arXiv:1412.0651v2 [math.AP] 11 Mar 2015

EVOLUTION DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN FRÉCHET SPACE WITH SCHAUDER BASIS

OLEG ZUBELEVICH

DEPT. OF THEORETICAL MECHANICS,
MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS FACULTY,
M. V. LOMONOSOV MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY
RUSSIA, 119899, MOSCOW, VOROB'EVY GORY, MGU

Abstract. We consider evolution differential equations in Fréchet spaces that possess unconditional Schauder basis and construct a version of the majorant functions method to obtain existence theorems for Cauchy problems. Applications to PDE and ODE have been considered.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Main Theorems 2
2.1. Non-negative Solutions 4
3. Applications 5
3.1. Linear PDE 5
3.2. Periodic Solutions to the Smoluchowski Equation 7
4. Proof of Main Theorems 8
5. Finite Dimensional Case 12
5.1. Estimates From Above 12
5.2. Estimates From Below 15
5.3. Applications: Stability Theory 16
6. Appendix: Proof of Theorem 4.1 17
6.1. Preliminary Lemmas 18
6.2. The Proof of The Theorem 20
Acknowledgement 20
References 21

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58D25, 34G20.

Key words and phrases. Cauchy-Kovalevskaya problem, infinite dimensional evolution equations, infinite-order system of ODE, countable system of ODE.

Partially supported by grants RFBR 12-01-00441., Science Sch.-2964.2014.1.

1. Introduction

Countable systems of ordinary differential equations appear in different areas of differential equations and applications, see, for example [9],[3].

The most famous problem which leads to such an object is the Cauchy-Kovalevskaya problem in nonanalytic in time statement. To reduce this problem to the countable system of ODE one must expand the solution to the Taylor series in spacial variables and substitute this expansion to the corresponding initial value problem then the Taylor coefficients satisfy infinite system of ODE.

The Cauchy-Weierstrass-Kovalevskaya method of majorant functions can be modified for nonanalytic in time statement to obtain corresponding existence theorem [14]. Generally, being applied to Cauchy-Kovalevskaya problem, this modification does not give anything different from the results of Nirenberg and Nishida [7]. Nevertheless, in some cases this method allows to obtain global in time existence theorems or at least effective estimates for the solution's existence time [13].

Another application of the majorant functions method is the initial value problems with non-Lipschitz right hand side. It is well known that in infinite dimensional space such problems in general do not have solutions. But the majorant functions method allows to prove the existence theorems in some special cases.

This article is devoted to the generalisation of this method for countable systems of ODE in the Fréchet spaces that possess the Schauder basis.

For example, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{T}^m)$, $\mathbb{T}^m = \mathbb{R}^m/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^m$ is a Fréchet space with the unconditional Schauder basis $\{e^{i(k,x)}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. Other examples see below.

2. Main Theorems

Let E stand for a Fréchet space. Its topology is defined by the collection of seminormes $\{\|\cdot\|_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Recall that such a space is completely metrizable by the following metrics

$$\rho(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \min\{1, \|x-y\|_k\}.$$

Definition 1. *A sequence $\{e_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset E$ is called a Schauder basis in E if for every $x \in E$ there is a unique sequence of scalars $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ so that*

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k. \tag{2.1}$$

This series is convergent in the topology of E.

We shall say that $\{e_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an unconditional basis if for any $x \in E$ and for any permutation $\pi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{\pi(k)} e_{\pi(k)}$$

is convergent.

In the sequel we assume that E possesses an unconditional Schauder basis.

Introduce a notation $I_T = [0,T], \quad T > 0$. By definition for $T = \infty$ put $I_\infty = [0,\infty)$. If it is not explicitly specified that $T = \infty$, we assume that $T < \infty$.

Definition 2. *We shall say that an element $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k e_k$ is a majorant for an element $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k$ and write $x \ll y$ iff*

$$|x_k| \le y_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition 3. *We shall say that $x(t) \in C^1(I_T, E)$ iff for each $t \in I_T$ there exists an element $\dot{x}(t)$ such that for all i one has*

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \Big\| \frac{x(t+h) - x(t)}{h} - \dot{x}(t) \Big\|_i = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

And the element $\dot{x}$ belongs to $C(I_T, E)$.

In formula (2.2) it is assumed that if $t = 0$ then $h > 0$ and $h < 0$ provided $t = T$.

Fix an element $y \in E$ and let $\mathcal{X}_j[y] : E \to E$ stand for the following affine mappings $\mathcal{X}_1[y]x = y_1 e_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} x_k e_k$,

$$\mathcal{X}_j[y]x = \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} x_k e_k + y_j e_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} x_k e_k, \quad j > 1.$$

Let a function $X(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k(t) e_k \in C(I_T, E)$ be such that

$$X_k(t) \ge 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in I_T,$$

and $X_k(t) \in C^1(I_T)$.

Introduce a set

$$W_X = \{(t,x) \in I_T \times E \mid x \ll X(t)\}.$$

Consider the following initial value problem

$$\dot{x} = f(t,x), \quad x(0) = \hat{x}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$f(t,x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(t,x) e_k, \quad f \in C(W_X, E).$$

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $X_k(t) > 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in I_T$ and for each $(t,x) \in W_X$ one has*

$$\pm f_k(t, \mathcal{X}_k[\pm X(t)]x) \le \dot{X}_k(t), \quad \hat{x} \ll X(0).$$

(Here and in the sequel this means that for each k two inequalities hold.)

Then problem (2.3) has a solution $x(t) \in C^1(I_T, E)$ such that

$$x(t) \ll X(t), \quad t \in I_T.$$

Remark 1. *The function $X(t)$ that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1 is called a majorant function for problem (2.3).*

This theorem develops corresponding results of [14] and, like the theorems from that article, implies the classical Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem and a number of its generalisations.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that $T = \infty$ and the function f is $\omega-$periodic ($\omega > 0$) in t.*

Suppose also that $X_k(t) > 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in I_T$ and for each $(t, x) \in W_X$ one has

$$\pm f_k(t, \mathcal{X}_k[\pm X(t)]x) \leq \dot{X}_k(t),$$

and $X(\omega) \ll X(0)$.

Then problem (2.3) has a solution $\tilde{x}(t) \in C^1(I_\infty, E)$ such that

$$\tilde{x}(t) \ll X(t), \quad \tilde{x}(t + \omega) = \tilde{x}(t) \quad t \in I_\infty.$$

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are proved in Section 4.

The following technical proposition is useful for proving continuity of some mappings.

Proposition 1. *Let $A = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k e_k \in E, \quad A_k \geq 0$ be a fixed element.*

Assume that a sequence $x_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{kn} e_k$ belongs to

$$K_A = \Big\{ y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k e_k \in E \mid |y_k| \leq A_k \Big\}$$

and this sequence is weakly convergent: for all k it follows that $x_{kn} \to x_k$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k \in K_A$ and the sequence is convergent in E i.e. $\rho(x_n, x) \to 0$.

It is proved by the methods developed in Section 4.

2.1. **Non-negative Solutions.** In this section we formulate another pair of theorems which belong to the same range of ideas. We do not bring their proofs since they repeat the argument of Section 4 up to evident modifications.

Endow the space E with partial order $\prec$ by the following rule.

Definition 4. *We shall write $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k \prec y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k e_k$ iff*

$$x_k \leq y_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Introduce a set

$$W_X^+ = \{(t, x) \in I_T \times E \mid 0 \prec x \prec X(t)\}.$$

Assume that $f \in C(W_X^+, E)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that $X_k(t) > 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in I_T$ and for each $(t, x) \in W_X^+$ one has*

$$f_k(t, \mathcal{X}_k[X(t)]x) \leq \dot{X}_k(t), \quad 0 \prec \hat{x} \prec X(0)$$

and $f_k(t, \mathcal{X}_k[0]x) \geq 0$.

Then problem (2.3) has a solution $x(t) \in C^1(I_T, E)$ such that

$$0 \prec x(t) \prec X(t), \quad t \in I_T.$$

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that $T = \infty$ and the function f is $\omega-$periodic ($\omega > 0$) in t.*

Suppose also that $X_k(t) > 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in I_T$ and for each $(t, x) \in W_X^+$ one has

$$f_k(t, \mathcal{X}_k[X(t)]x) \leq \dot{X}_k(t),$$

and $f_k(t, \mathcal{X}_k[0]x) \geq 0$. Moreover suppose that $X(\omega) \ll X(0)$.

Then problem (2.3) has a solution $\tilde{x}(t) \in C^1(I_\infty, E)$ such that

$$0 \prec \tilde{x}(t) \prec X(t), \quad \tilde{x}(t+\omega) = \tilde{x}(t) \quad t \in I_\infty.$$

3. Applications

3.1. **Linear PDE.** To release our exposition from technical details we restrict ourselves to the case of PDE with one-dimensional spatial variable. However considered below propositions can easily be obtained for corresponding systems with multidimensional spatial variable.

3.1.1. *The Existence Theorem.* Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$ stand for the space of entire functions $u : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$. This is a Fréchet space with seminorms

$$\|v\|_n = \max_{|z| \leq n} |v(z)|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and the Schauder basis is $e_j = z^j, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$.

For the space $E \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$ take the space of entire functions

$$v : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$$

such that $\overline{v(z)} = v(\overline{z})$.

Fix arbitrary positive number T and take functions $a(t), b(t) \in C(I_T, \mathbb{R})$. Consider the following initial value problem

$$v_t(t, z) = b(t)v(t, z) + a(t)z^m \frac{\partial^N v(t, z)}{\partial z^N}, \quad v(0, z) = \hat{v}(z). \tag{3.1}$$

Here $N > m \geq 0$ are some integers.

Introduce the following notations

$$q_{jmN} = \frac{(j - m + N)!}{(j - m)!}, \quad j \geq m, \quad a^* = \|a\|_{C(I_T)}.$$

We assume that $a^* \neq 0$. Then take arbitrary positive constants $U_0, \ldots U_{N-1}$ and define other constants recurrently

$$U_{j-m+N} = \frac{U_j}{a^* q_{jmN}}, \quad j \geq m.$$

It is not hard to show that $U(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U_j z^j \in E$.

Proposition 2. *Suppose that $\hat{v} \ll U$. Then problem (3.1) has a solution $v(t, z) \in C^1(I_T, E)$ and $v(t, z) \ll e^{\int_0^t b(s)ds + t} U(z)$ for all $t \in I_T$.*

Note that this proposition does not follow from results of [2].

Indeed, after the change of function $v = e^{\int_0^t b(s)ds + t}u$ problem (3.1) takes the form

$$u_t(t,z) = -u(t,z) + a(t)z^m \frac{\partial^N u(t,z)}{\partial z^N}, \quad u(0,z) = \hat{v}(z). \tag{3.2}$$

In coordinate notation problem (3.2) has the form $u(t,z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j(t)z^j$,

$$\dot{u}_j = -u_j, \quad u_j(0) = \hat{v}_j, \quad j < m,$$

and

$$\dot{u}_j = -u_j + q_{jmN}a(t)u_{j-m+N}, \quad u_j(0) = \hat{v}_j, \quad j \geq m. \tag{3.3}$$

To apply Theorem 2.1 to problem (3.3) observe that for any

$$|u_l| \leq U_l, \quad l \geq m$$

we have

$$\pm\big(- (\pm U_j) + a(t)q_{jmN}u_{j-m+N}\big) \\ \leq -U_j + a^* q_{jmN}U_{j-m+N} = 0 = \dot{U}_j, \quad j \geq m.$$

The Proposition is proved.

3.1.2. *Periodic Solutions.* Let us redefine sequence $\{U_k\}$. Take a sequence $F_k \geq 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $U_0, \dots U_{N-1}$ be arbitrary positive constants. Then put

$$U_{j-m+N} = \frac{U_j}{a^* q_{jmN} + F_j}, \quad j \geq m.$$

It is not hard to show that $U(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U_j z^j \in E$.

Consider the following system

$$u_t(t,z) = -u(t,z) + a(t)z^m \frac{\partial^N u(t,z)}{\partial z^N} + f(t,z). \tag{3.4}$$

Assume that the function

$$f(t,z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(t)z^k \in C(I_\infty, E)$$

and f_k are the $\omega-$periodic functions.

Proposition 3. *Suppose that for $j \geq m$ it follows that*

$$\max_{t \in I_\omega} |f_j(t)| \leq F_j U_{j-m+N}.$$

Then system (3.4) has an $\omega-$periodic solution $u(t,z) \in C^1(I_\infty, E)$.

In coordinate notation problem (3.4) has the form

$$\dot{u}_j = -u_j + f_j, \quad j < m,$$

and

$$\dot{u}_j = -u_j + q_{jmN}a(t)u_{j-m+N} + f_j, \quad j \geq m. \tag{3.5}$$

To apply Theorem 2.2 to problem (3.5) observe that for any

$$|u_l| \le U_l, \quad l \ge m$$

we have

$$\pm\big(-(\pm U_j) + a(t) q_{jmN} u_{j-m+N} + f_j(t)\big)$$
$$\le -U_j + a^* q_{jmN} U_{j-m+N} + F_j U_{j-m+N} = 0 = \dot{U}_j, \quad j \ge m.$$

The Proposition is proved.

3.2. **Periodic Solutions to the Smoluchowski Equation.** In this section we consider the following IVP

$$\dot{x}_k = c_k + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i+j=k} b_{ij} x_i x_j - x_k \sum_j b_{kj} x_j, \quad x_k(0) = \hat{x}_k, \quad i,j,k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.6)$$

The functions $c_i(t), b_{ij}(t) \in C(I_T)$ are non negative valued,

$$b_{ij} = b_{ji}, \quad \hat{x}_k \ge 0.$$

For this IVP the non negative solutions $x_k(t) \ge 0$ are of interest.

In [5],[12] the existence theorems have been proved under the following assumptions $b_{ij}(t) \le (i+j)^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in [0,1]$ and $\hat{x}_k, c_k$ are decreased as c/k^p with some $p > \alpha$. The obtained solutions are bounded in certain norm on bounded intervals.

We do not assume anything about growth of coefficients b_{ij} for $i \ne j$, but our assumption on growth of coefficients b_{kk} is strong enough. Under these assumptions we prove the existence of bounded for all time solutions and the existence of a periodic solution when the coefficients b_{ij}, c_k are periodic.

Let us put

$$c_k(t) \le C_k, \quad b_{kk}(t) \ge \beta_k, \quad b_{ij}(t) \le B_{ij}.$$

In these formulas $i,j,k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the inequalities hold for all $t \in I_T$ with some non negative constants C_k, B_{ij}, β_k.

Introduce a sequence

$$X_1 = \sqrt{C_1/\beta_1}, \quad X_k = \sqrt{\frac{C_k + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i+j=k} B_{ij} X_i X_j}{\beta_k}}, \quad k = 2,3,\dots.$$

We assume that the constants β_k are large such that

$$b_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{kj} X_j < \infty.$$

Let us put

$$A_k = C_k + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i+j=k} B_{ij} X_i X_j + X_k b_k, \quad F_k = \max\{1, A_k, X_k\}.$$

Introduce a Banach space E of sequences $x = \{x_k\}$ with the following norm

$$\|x\| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 F_k} |x_k| < \infty.$$

Evidently, this space possesses an unconditional Schauder basis $e_j = \{\delta_{ij}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. Note that

$$A = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} A_k e_k, \quad X = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} X_k e_k \in E.$$

Proposition 4. *Assume that $\hat{x} \prec X$.*

Then problem (3.6) has a solution $x(t) \in C^1(I_T, E)$ such that

$$0 \le x_k(t) \le X_k, \quad t \in I_T.$$

Moreover, if the functions b_{ij}, c_j are $\omega-$ periodic then there is a solution $\tilde{x}(t) \in C^1(I_\infty, E), \quad \tilde{x}_k(t) \ge 0$ that is also $\omega-$periodic and $0 \le \tilde{x}_k(t) \le X_k$.

Proof. So we wish to apply theorems 2.3, 2.4.

For $0 \le x_s \le X_s, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_k = 0$ the condition of the theorems is satisfied identically

$$c_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} b_{ij} x_i x_j \ge 0.$$

Another condition of the theorems to check is for $0 \le x_s \le X_s, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_k = X_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} b_{ij} x_i x_j - x_k \sum_j b_{kj} x_j \\ \le C_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} B_{ij} X_i X_j - X_k^2 \beta_k \le \dot{X}_k = 0 \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that the mapping

$$f(t,x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(t,x) e_k, \quad f_k = c_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} b_{ij} x_i x_j - x_k \sum_j b_{kj} x_j$$

is continuous as a mapping of W_X^+ to E. Observe that for each $(t,x) \in W_X^+$ we have $f(t,x) \ll A$. Now the continuity follows from Proposition 1.

4. Proof of Main Theorems

4.0.1. *A Short Digression in Functional Analysis.* Let $\mathcal{P}_n : E \to E$ be the projection

$$\mathcal{P}_n\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k\Big) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k e_k.$$

Let us also put $\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathrm{id} - \mathcal{P}_n$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell_\infty$ and let*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k x_k e_k.$$

Then for any number i' there exist a number i and a positive constant c both independent on λ such that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_\lambda x\|_{i'} \le c\|\lambda\|_\infty \cdot \|x\|_i.$$

Particularly, Theorem 4.1 implies that the operators $\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{Q}_n$ are continuous. However this fact needs an independent proof since Theorem 4.1 is based upon it by itself.

Theorem 4.1 and the continuity of the projections are proved in Section 6.

Lemma 4.2. *The set W_X is a compact set in $I_T \times E$.*

Proof. Consider continuous mappings

$$v_n : I_T \to E, \quad v_n(t) = \mathcal{Q}_n X(t).$$

This sequence is pointwise convergent to zero: $v_n(t) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty$ for any fixed $t \in I_T$. On the other hand this sequence is uniformly continuous on I_T.

Indeed, by Theorem 4.1 the mappings $\mathcal{Q}_n$ are uniformly continuous thus for any i' there exist a constant $c > 0$ and a number i such that

$$\|v_n(t') - v_n(t'')\|_{i'} \le c\|X(t') - X(t'')\|_i.$$

But the mapping X is uniformly continuous on the compact set I_T.

Consequently, $v_n(t) \to 0$ uniformly in I_T [11].

Evidently, the set W_X is closed. We prove the Lemma if show that the sets

$$A_n = \{(t, \mathcal{P}_n x) \in I_T \times E \mid x \ll X(t)\}$$

form a sequence of compact $\epsilon-$nets in W_X.

Indeed, each set A_n is contained in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, closed and bounded.

Let us take an element $(t, x) \in W_X$; and employ Theorem 4.1 with

$$\lambda_k(t) = x_k / X_k(t), \quad |\lambda_k(t)| \le 1$$

then it follows that for any number i' there exist a number i and a constant c such that

$$\|x - \mathcal{P}_n x\|_{i'} = \|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda(t)} \mathcal{Q}_n X(t)\|_{i'} \le c\|v_n(t)\|_i.$$

Therefore $\sup_{(t,x)\in W_X} \|x - \mathcal{P}_n x\|_{i'} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

The Lemma is proved.

By the analogous argument one obtains the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Take an element $U = \sum_{k=1} U_k e_k, \quad U_k \ge 0$. Then*

$$K_U = \{u \in E \mid u \ll U\}$$

is a compact set.

Theorem 4.4 (Arzela, Ascoli, [11]). *Consider a set $K \subset C(I_T, E)$. Suppose that*

1) for any $t \in I_T$ the set $K_t = \{x(t) \mid x(\cdot) \in K\} \subset E$ is compact.

2) for any $\epsilon > 0$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist a constant $\delta > 0$ such that if $t', t'' \in I_T, \quad |t' - t''| < \delta$ then

$$\|x(t') - x(t'')\|_n \leq \epsilon.$$

Then K is a compact set.

4.0.2. *Back to Proof of Theorem 2.1.* We approximate problem (2.3) by the following finite dimensional ones

$$\dot{y}^n = \mathcal{P}_n f(t, y^n), \quad y^n(0) = \hat{y}^n = \mathcal{P}_n \hat{x}, \quad y^n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j e_j. \tag{4.1}$$

By Theorem 5.3 all the problems (4.1) have solutions $y^n(t) \in C^1(I_T, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$(t, y^n(t)) \in W_X, \quad t \in I_T. \tag{4.2}$$

By Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 for any i' there is a number i and a constant c such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup\{\|\dot{y}^n(t)\|_{i'} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in I_T\} \\ &\qquad \leq \sup\{\|\mathcal{P}_n f(t,x)\|_{i'} \mid (t,x) \in W_X, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\qquad \leq c \sup_{(t,x) \in W_X} \|f(t,x)\|_i \leq C_{i'} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For any $t', t'' \in I_T$ this implies

$$\|y^n(t') - y^n(t'')\|_{i'} = \Big\| \int_{t'}^{t''} \dot{y}^n(s) ds \Big\|_{i'} \leq C_{i'} |t' - t''|.$$

By Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.3 the sequence $\{y^n\}$ contains a subsequence that is convergent in $C(I_T, E)$. Denote this subsequence in the same manner:

$$y^n(\cdot) \to x(\cdot) \quad \text{in} \quad C(I_T, E).$$

Since the operators $\mathcal{P}_n$ are continuous, formula (4.2) implies $x(t) \ll X(t), \quad t \in I_T$.

Our next goal is to show that $x(t)$ is the desired solution to problem (2.3). Rewrite problem (4.1) as follows

$$y^n(t) - \hat{y}^n = \int_0^t \mathcal{P}_n f(s, y^n(s)) ds. \tag{4.3}$$

Passing to the limit as $n \to \infty$ in the left side of this formula we obtain $x(t) - \hat{x}$.

Consider the right hand side of formula (4.3).

Lemma 4.5. *For all $i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in I_T$ one has*

$$\Big\| \int_0^t \mathcal{P}_n f(s, y^n(s)) ds - \int_0^t f(s, x(s)) ds \Big\|_i \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$.

The integrals are understood in the sense of Millionshchikov [6].

Proof. Estimate this expression by parts

$$\Big\| \int_0^t \mathcal{P}_n f(s, y^n(s))ds - \int_0^t f(s, x(s))ds \Big\|_i \leq \int_0^t \|\mathcal{P}_n(f(s, y^n(s)) - f(s, x(s)))\|_i ds + \int_0^t \|\mathcal{Q}_n f(s, x(s))\|_i ds.$$

Then due to Theorem 4.1 we have

$$\|\mathcal{P}_n(f(s, y^n(s)) - f(s, x(s)))\|_i \leq c_i \|f(s, y^n(s)) - f(s, x(s))\|_{i'} \to 0.$$

Since the function f is uniformly continuous in the compact set W_X, this limit is uniform in $s \in I_T$.

The set $f(W_X)$ is a compact set as an image of a compact set. The operators $\mathcal{Q}_n$ are uniformly continuous (Theorem 4.1). Consequently, the convergence $\|Q_n f(s, x(s))\|_i \to 0$ is uniform in $s \in I_T$ [11].

The Lemma is proved.

From Lemma 4.5 and formula (4.3) it follows that

$$x(t) - \hat{x} = \int_0^t f(s, x(s))ds.$$

Consequently $x(t) \in C^1(I_T, E)$ and $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$. [6].

In coordinate notation this implies

$$x_k(t) - \hat{x}_k = \int_0^t f_k(s, x(s))ds$$

or

$$\dot{x}_k(t) = f_k(t, x(t)), \quad x_k(0) = \hat{x}_k.$$

This particularly implies that the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \dot{x}_k(t)e_k$ is convergent for each t.

Theorem 2.1 is proved.

4.0.3. *Proof of Theorem 2.2.* By Theorem 5.5 all the problems (4.1) have $\omega-$periodic solutions $\tilde{y}^n(t)$ such that

$$\tilde{y}^n(t) \ll X(t).$$

By the same argument as above, the set $\{\tilde{y}^n(\cdot)\}$ is relatively compact in $C(I_\omega, E)$. Let $y_*(t)$ be an accumulation point of this set. Then the function

$$\tilde{x}(t) = y_*(\tau), \quad \tau \in I_\omega, \quad t = \tau \pmod{\omega}$$

is the periodic solution.

The Theorem is proved.

5. Finite Dimensional Case

5.1. **Estimates From Above.** In this section we consider ordinary differential equations in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Introduce several notations

$$\mathbb{R}^m_+ = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid x_k \geq 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m\}, \quad I_T = [0, T].$$

We shall say that a vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ majorizes a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ iff

$$|x_k| \leq X_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

This relation is written as $x \ll X$. Suppose a function $X(t) \in C^1(I_T, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is such that

$$X(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m_+,$$

for all $t \in I_T$ with some fixed $T > 0$.

Let $U \subset I_T \times \mathbb{R}^m$ be an open neighbourhood of the following set

$$W_X = \{(t, x) \in I_T \times \mathbb{R}^m \mid x \ll X(t)\}.$$

5.1.1. *Lipschitz Case.* Introduce a function $f(t, x) \in C(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ which is a locally Lipschitz function in the second argument. In short words f is a function such that the initial value problem

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x), \quad x(0) = \hat{x}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_m). \tag{5.1}$$

satisfies the standard Cauchy existence and uniqueness theorem in U.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that* $X_k(t) > 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \quad t \in I_T$ *and for each* $(t, x) \in W_X$ *one has*

$$\pm f_k(t, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \pm X_k(t), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m) \leq \dot{X}_k(t), \quad \hat{x} \ll X(0). \tag{5.2}$$

Then problem (5.1) has a solution $x(t) \in C^1(I_T, \mathbb{R}^m)$ *such that* $x(t) \ll X(t)$.

5.1.2. *Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Let $\psi(s) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \operatorname{supp} \psi \subset [-1, 1]$ be a non-negative function, $\psi(0) = 1$. Construct a function

$$\phi_\epsilon(s) = \epsilon \psi(s/\epsilon), \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

Choose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that the equalities

$$\phi_\epsilon(\pm 2X_k(t)) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m \tag{5.3}$$

hold for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0), \quad t \in I_T$.

Define a function f^ϵ as follows

$$f^\epsilon_k(t, y) = f_k(t, y) - \phi_\epsilon(y_k - X_k(t)) + \phi_\epsilon(y_k + X_k(t)).$$

Consider a system

$$\dot{y} = f^\epsilon(t, y), \quad y(0) = \hat{x}. \tag{5.4}$$

We have

$$\pm f^\epsilon_k(t, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \pm X_k(t), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m) < \dot{X}_k(t), \quad (t, x) \in W_X. \tag{5.5}$$

Let $y^\epsilon(t)$ stand for the solution to problem (5.4). Show that for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ it follows that

$$y^\epsilon(t) \ll X(t), \quad t \in I_T. \tag{5.6}$$

Indeed, fix $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ and assume the converse:

$$\tau = \sup\{\tau' \mid y^\epsilon(t) \ll X(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau']\} < T.$$

This implies that for some number j and for some positive number δ we have

$$y_j^\epsilon(\tau) = -X_j(\tau), \quad y_j^\epsilon(t) + X_j(t) < 0, \quad t \in (\tau, \tau + \delta). \tag{5.7}$$

We take the sign "$-$" before X_j just for definiteness, the case $y_j^\epsilon(\tau) = X_j(\tau)$ and $y_j^\epsilon(t) > X_j(t)$ is processed in the same way.

By formula (5.5) $\dot{X}_j(\tau) + \dot{y}_j^\epsilon(\tau) > 0$ and the function $X_j(t) + y_j^\epsilon(t)$ increases provided $t - \tau > 0$ is small enough. This contradicts against formula (5.7).

By the standard theorem, $\|x(\cdot) - y^\epsilon(\cdot)\|_{C(I_T)} \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Therefore formula (5.6) implies the assertion of the Theorem.

The Theorem is proved.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that $T = \infty$ and in addition to conditions of Theorem 5.1 assume the function f to be $\omega-$periodic ($\omega > 0$) in t:*

$$f(t + \omega, x) = f(t, x)$$

and $X(\omega) \ll X(0)$.

Then problem (5.1) has a solution $\tilde{x}(t) \in C^1(I_T, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\tilde{x}(t) \ll X(t), \quad t \in I_T$ and $\tilde{x}(t + \omega) = \tilde{x}(t)$.

Proof. Ideed, this Theorem follows from the argument above. The Poincare map $\hat{x} \mapsto x(\omega)$ takes the convex compact set $K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid x \ll X(0)\}$ to itself. By the Brouwer fixed point theorem there exists an initial condition $\hat{x}$ such that $x(\omega) = \hat{x}$.

5.1.3. *Non-Lipschitz Case.* In this section we assume that $f \in C(W_X, \mathbb{R}^m)$.

The Theorem is proved.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose that $X_k(t) > 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \quad t \in I_T$ and for each $(t, x) \in W_X$ one has*

$$\pm f_k(t, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \pm X_k(t), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m) \le \dot{X}_k(t), \quad \hat{x} \ll X(0).$$

Then problem (5.1) has a solution $x(t) \in C^1(I_T, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $x(t) \ll X(t)$.

5.1.4. *Proof of Theorem 5.3.* By the Tietze Extension Theorem, extend the functions f_k to continuous functions of an open neighbourhood of the set W_T.

Introduce as above the function

$$f_k^\epsilon(t, y) = f_k(t, y) - \phi_\epsilon(y_k - X_k(t)) + \phi_\epsilon(y_k + X_k(t)).$$

The parameter ϵ is also chosen to fulfil equality (5.3). Therefore, inequality (5.5) is also satisfied.

Let $\{f^{n,\epsilon}(t,x)\}$ be a sequence of functions that are smooth in some open neighbourhood of the set W_X and such that

$$\|f^{n,\epsilon} - f^{\epsilon}\|_{C(W_X)} \to 0 \tag{5.8}$$

as $n \to \infty$. For all sufficiently large n these functions satisfy inequality (5.2):

$$\pm f_k^{n,\epsilon}(t, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \pm X_k(t), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m) \le \dot{X}_k(t).$$

Thus by Theorem 5.1 each problem

$$\dot{x}^{n,\epsilon} = f^{n,\epsilon}(t, x^{n,\epsilon}), \quad x^{n,\epsilon}(0) = \hat{x} \tag{5.9}$$

has a solution $x^{n,\epsilon}(t) \in C^1(I_T)$,

$$x^{n,\epsilon}(t) \ll X(t). \tag{5.10}$$

Lemma 5.4. *The set $U = \{x^{n,\epsilon}(t)\}$ is relatively compact in $C(I_T)$.*

Proof. Indeed, by formula (5.10) the set U is bounded. If we show that it is uniformly continuous then the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem implies the Lemma.

Accomplish an estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|x^{n,\epsilon}(t') - x^{n,\epsilon}(t'')\|_\infty &\le \Big\| \int_{t''}^{t'} f^{n,\epsilon}(t, x^{n,\epsilon}(t))\, dt \Big\|_\infty \\ &\le M|t' - t''|.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the standard norm in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

By formula (5.8) the constant M can be chosen as follows $M = 2\|f\|_{C(W_X)}$.

The Lemma is proved.

Take a subsequence $\{x^{n_j,\epsilon_j}\}$ that is convergent to $x(t)$ in $C(I_T)$ as $n_j \to \infty, \quad \epsilon_j \to 0$.

Passing to the same limit in the integral equation

$$x^{n_j,\epsilon_j}(t) = \hat{x} + \int_0^t f^{n_j,\epsilon_j}(s, x^{n_j,\epsilon_j}(s))\, ds$$

we conclude that $x(t)$ is the desired solution to problem (5.1).

The Theorem is proved.

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose that $T = \infty$ and in addition to conditions of Theorem 5.3 assume the function f to be $\omega-$periodic ($\omega > 0$) in t:*

$$f(t + \omega, x) = f(t, x)$$

and $X(\omega) \ll X(0)$.

Then problem (5.1) has a solution $\tilde{x}(t) \in C^1(I_T, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\tilde{x}(t) \ll X(t), \quad t \in I_T$ and $\tilde{x}(t + \omega) = \tilde{x}(t)$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.2 all the problems (5.9) have $\omega-$periodic solutions $\tilde{x}^{n,\epsilon}(t)$ such that

$$\tilde{x}^{n,\epsilon}(t) \ll X(t).$$

By the same argument as above, the set $\{\tilde{x}^{n,\epsilon}(\cdot)\}$ is relatively compact in $C(I_\omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $x_*(t)$ be an accumulation point of this set. Then the function

$$\tilde{x}(t) = x_*(\tau), \quad \tau \in I_\omega, \quad t = \tau \pmod{\omega}$$

is the periodic solution.

The Theorem is proved.

5.2. **Estimates From Below.** Now we are again in the conditions of Section 5.1.1, particularly, f is a Lipschitz function.

A notation $\operatorname{int} W_X$ stand for the interior of W_X.

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose that* $X_k(t) > 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \quad t \in I_T$ *and for each* $(t, x) \in W_X$ *one has*

$$\pm f_k(t, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \pm X_k(t), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m) \geq \dot{X}_k(t). \tag{5.11}$$

And let $x(t)$ *be a solution to problem (5.1) such that* $(0, \hat{x}) \notin \operatorname{int} W_X$.

Then $(t, x(t)) \notin \operatorname{int} W_X$ *for all the time of existence of the solution.*

5.2.1. *Proof of Theorem 5.6.* Our argument is almost the same as in Section 5.1.2. We bring it just for completeness.

Introduce a function

$$g_k^\epsilon(t, y) = f_k(t, y) + \phi_\epsilon(y_k - X_k(t)) - \phi_\epsilon(y_k + X_k(t)).$$

The parameter ϵ is also chosen to fulfil equality (5.3). Therefore, inequality (5.5) is also satisfied.

Inequality (5.11) implies

$$\pm g_k^\epsilon(t, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \pm X_k(t), x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m) > \dot{X}_k(t), \quad (t, x) \in W_X. \tag{5.12}$$

Let y^ϵ stand for solution to system

$$\dot{y}^\epsilon = g^\epsilon(t, y^\epsilon), \quad (t_0, y^\epsilon(t_0)) \notin \operatorname{int} W_X. \tag{5.13}$$

Let us show that $(t, y^\epsilon(t)) \notin \operatorname{int} W_X$ for all admissible $t > t_0$.

Assume the converse: there is a moment $\tilde{t} > t_0$ such that $(\tilde{t}, y^\epsilon(\tilde{t})) \in \operatorname{int} W_X$. Define a parameter τ as follows

$$\tau = \inf\{\tau' \in [t_0, \tilde{t}] \mid (t, y^\epsilon(t)) \in W_X, \quad \forall t \in [\tau', \tilde{t}]\}.$$

These imply that for some number j we have $y_j^\epsilon(\tau) = X_j(\tau)$. The case $y_j^\epsilon(\tau) = -X_j(\tau)$ is treated analogously.

Inequality (5.12) gives $\dot{y}_j^\epsilon(\tau) - \dot{X}_j(\tau) > 0$, and the function $y_j^\epsilon(t) - X_j(t)$ increases, consequently, for some small $\delta > 0$ it follows that

$$y_j^\epsilon(t) > X_j(t), \quad t \in (\tau, \tau + \delta).$$

This contradicts to the definition of τ.

Now prove the Theorem. Suppose that there are numbers $t_0 < \tilde{t}$ such that for the solution $x(t)$ we have $(t, x(t)) \in U, \quad t \in [t_0, \tilde{t}]$ and

$$(\tilde{t}, x(\tilde{t})) \in \operatorname{int} W_X, \quad (t_0, x(t_0)) \notin \operatorname{int} W_X.$$

Taking $y^\epsilon(t_0) = x(t_0)$ and approximating the solution $x(t)$ by the solutions to problem (5.13)

$$\|x - y^\epsilon\|_{C[t_0,\tilde{t}]} \to 0, \quad \epsilon \to 0$$

we get the contradiction.

The Theorem is proved.

5.3. **Applications: Stability Theory.** Consider a nonlinear system

$$\dot{x}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{kj}(t)x_j + \psi_k(t,x), \qquad k = 1,\dots,m. \tag{5.14}$$

Here the functions are as follows

$$a_{ij} \in C[0,\infty), \quad \psi_k \in C(A), \quad A = \{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \mid t \geq 0, \quad \|x\|_\infty \leq r\},$$

and $r > 0$ is a constant. The functions ψ are also locally Lipschitz in the second argument and for some constants $\lambda > 1, \quad c \geq 0$ it follows that

$$|\psi_k(t,x)| \leq c\|x\|_\infty^\lambda, \quad (t,x) \in A.$$

Introduce a function

$$p(t) = \max_n \Big\{ a_{nn}(t) + \sum_{j\in J_n} |a_{nj}(t)| \Big\}, \quad J_n = \{1,\dots,m\}\backslash\{n\}.$$

Proposition 5. *Assume that*

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \Big\{ \int_0^t p(s)ds \Big\} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad c \cdot \sup_{t\geq 0} \Big\{ \int_0^t e^{(\lambda-1)\int_0^\xi p(s)ds} d\xi \Big\} < \infty.$$

Then the zero solution to system (5.14) is stable in the sense of Lyapunov.

In the linear case ($c = 0$) this proposition does not follow directly from Levinson's theorem [1], but it perhaps follows from a modification of the argument of that theorem.

To prove this Proposition 5 we employ Theorem 5.1 with $X_k(t) = X(t)$. The scalar function X is the solution to the following problem

$$\dot{X} = p(t)X + cX^\lambda, \quad X(0) = \hat{X} > 0.$$

This Bernoulli equation is easily solved:

$$X(t) = \frac{e^{\int_0^t p(s)ds}\hat{X}}{\Big(1 - c(\lambda-1)\hat{X}^{\lambda-1}\int_0^t e^{(\lambda-1)\int_0^\xi p(s)ds} d\xi\Big)^{\frac{1}{\lambda-1}}}.$$

By virtue of Theorem 5.1, this formula implies Proposition 5.

Let us introduce a function

$$q(t) = \min_n \Big\{ a_{nn}(t) - \sum_{j\in J_n} |a_{nj}(t)| \Big\}, \quad J_n = \{1,\dots,m\}\backslash\{n\}.$$

Proposition 6. *Assume that for all $t \geq 0$ the function $q(t)$ is greater than some positive constant C:*

$$q(t) \geq C > 0.$$

Then the zero solution to system (5.14) is Lyapunov unstable.

Remark 2. *Actually this is not a solely possible conclusion from Theorem 5.6. Other one for example is as follows. Suppose that the expression*

$$c \cdot \limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_0^t e^{(\lambda-1)\int_0^\xi q(s)ds} d\xi < \infty$$

and

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_0^t q(s)ds = \infty$$

then zero solution to system (5.14) is also Lyapunov unstable.

To prove these assertions it is sufficient to put $X_k(t) = X(t), \quad k = 1, \dots, m$ then

$$\dot{X} = q(t)X - cX^\lambda, \quad X(0) = \hat{X} > 0$$

and

$$X(t) = \frac{e^{\int_0^t q(s)ds}\hat{X}}{\left(1 + c(\lambda-1)\hat{X}^{\lambda-1}\int_0^t e^{(\lambda-1)\int_0^\xi q(s)ds} d\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda-1}}}.$$

Consider another example:

$$\dot{x}_1 = \left(\frac{e^{2t}+1}{2} - x_1\right)^3 (1 + x_2^6) + x_2^2, \quad \dot{x}_2 = x_1^4(x_2^2 - e^{2t}) + \cos(x_1)x_2. \quad (5.15)$$

Proposition 7. *For any initial conditions $|x_1(0)| \leq 1, \quad |x_2(0)| \leq 1$ system (5.15) has a solution $x(t) \in C^1(I_\infty)$.*

Indeed, to apply Theorem 5.1 take functions

$$X_1(t) = \frac{e^{2t}+1}{2}, \quad X_2(t) = e^t$$

and note that these functions satisfy the following initial value problem

$$\dot{X}_1 = X_2^2, \quad \dot{X}_2 = X_2, \quad X_1(0) = X_2(0) = 1.$$

6. Appendix: Proof of Theorem 4.1

Let us note that theorem 4.1 remains valid for the space E over the field $\mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda = \{\lambda_j\}, \quad \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$. This case is reduced to the real one by considering the realification of the space E with the Schauder basis $\{e_k, ie_k\}, \quad i^2 = -1$.

Theorem 4.1 generalises the corresponding result of [4] from the case of Banach spaces to the case of Fréchet spaces. In the whole, our proof follows in the stream of [4] but at several points our argument is considerably differs from that book. So we bring the proof for exposition's completeness sake.

6.1. **Preliminary Lemmas.**

Lemma 6.1. *The operators $\mathcal{P}_n : E \to E$ are continuous.*

Proof. Consider the seminorms

$$|x|_n = \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{j} x_k e_k \Big\|_n .$$

One evidently has $\| \cdot \|_j \leq | \cdot |_j$. So that the identity map

$$\mathrm{id}\,(E, \{| \cdot |_k\}) \to (E, \{\| \cdot \|_k\})$$

is continuous.

The space $(E, \{| \cdot |_k\})$ is complete, this fact is proved in the same manner as it is done in [10] for the case of Banach space.

By the open mapping theorem [8] the collections of seminorms $\{| \cdot |_k\}$ and $\{\| \cdot \|_k\}$ endow the space E with the same topology.

Observe that the mappings $\mathcal{P}_n$ are continuous with respect to the seminorms $\{| \cdot |_k\}$.

The Lemma is proved.

Lemma 6.2. *Series (2.1) is convergent unconditionally iff one of the following conditions are fulfilled.*

(1) For any sequence $\theta_k \in \{\pm 1\}$ the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_k x_k e_k$$

is convergent.

(2) For each i and for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a natural n such that for each finite set $\sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$, $\min \sigma > n$ one has

$$\Big\| \sum_{k\in\sigma} x_k e_k \Big\|_i < \epsilon.$$

(3) For any sequence $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ the series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{k_i} e_{k_i}$$

is convergent.

If series (2.1) is convergent unconditionally then its sum is independent on permutation of its summands.

The Banach space version of lemma 6.2 contains in [4]. The proof is transmitted to the case of Fréchet space directly.

Corollary 1. *If series (2.1) is convergent then for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a number n such that*

$$\Big\| \sum_{k\in\sigma} x_k e_k \Big\|_i < \epsilon$$

if only $\min\sigma > n, \quad \sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$.

The set σ *is not necessarily finite.*

Indeed, by Lemma 6.2 choose n_1 such that for any finite $\sigma_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\Big\| \sum_{k\in\sigma_1} x_k e_k \Big\|_i < \epsilon/2.$$

Choose $n_2 > n_1$ such that for any finite $\sigma_2 \subset \mathbb{N}, \quad \min\sigma_2 > n_2$ it follows that

$$\Big\| \sum_{k\in\sigma_2} x_k e_k \Big\|_i < \epsilon/4$$

and so on. Let us put $\sigma_j = (n_j, n_{j+1}] \cap \sigma, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$. It remains to observe that $\sigma = \cup_j \sigma_j$ and

$$\sum_{\sigma} = \sum_{\sigma_1} + \sum_{\sigma_2} + \dots .$$

Let $S = \{\pm 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ stand for the set of sequences $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots), \quad \theta_k = \pm 1$. Endow the set S with the product topology.

Lemma 6.3. *The operator* $\mathcal{M}_\theta : E \to E, \quad \theta \in S$ *is continuous.*

Proof. By well-known theorem [8] it is sufficient to check that $\mathcal{M}_\theta$ is a closed operator.

Let $x^j = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{jk} e_k \to x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k$ as $j \to \infty$. By lemma 6.1 for any k it follows that $x_{jk} \to x_k$.

Suppose

$$\mathcal{M}_\theta x^j \to z = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k e_k.$$

Then $\theta_k x_{jk} \to z_k$ and $z_k = \theta_k x_k$ i.e. $\mathcal{M}_\theta x^j \to \mathcal{M}_\theta x$.

The Lemma is proved.

Lemma 6.4. *For any* $i \in \mathbb{N}$ *there are a constant* $c > 0$ *and a number* $i' \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for all* $x \in E$ *the inequality holds*

$$\sup_{\theta\in S} \|\mathcal{M}_\theta x\|_i \le c\|x\|_{i'}.$$

Proof. Show that for all $x \in E$ the mapping $T_x : S \to E, \quad T_x(\theta) = \mathcal{M}_\theta x$ is continuous. Particularly, the mapping $\theta \mapsto \|\mathcal{M}_\theta x\|_n$ is continuous.

Indeed, let

$$\theta^k = \{\theta_j^k\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \to \theta = \{\theta_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$$

as $k \to \infty$. This implies that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a number K such that for $k > K$ one has $\theta_j^k = \theta_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m$.

For these k it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\theta^k} x - \mathcal{M}_\theta x = -2\sum_{l\in\sigma_1} x_l e_l + 2\sum_{l\in\sigma_2} x_l e_l, \quad \min\sigma_1, \min\sigma_2 > m.$$

By Corollary 1 for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\Big\| \sum_{l\in\sigma_1} x_l e_l \Big\|_i, \quad \Big\| \sum_{l\in\sigma_2} x_l e_l \Big\|_i \to 0$$

as $m \to \infty$.

This implies

$$\mathcal{M}_{\theta^k} x - \mathcal{M}_\theta x \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$.

By Tychonoff's theorem, S is a compact space. Consequently, for any i and x it follows that

$$\sup_{\theta\in S} \|\mathcal{M}_\theta x\|_i < \infty.$$

Now the assertion of the lemma follows from the Banach-Steinhaus theorem [11].

The Lemma is proved.

6.2. **The Proof of The Theorem.** Let us show that the operator $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is defined for all $x \in E$. Introduce a notation

$$b_{nm} = \sum_{n\le k\le m} \lambda_k x_k e_k, \quad a_{nm} = \sum_{n\le k\le m} x_k e_k.$$

We wish to show that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ it follows that

$$\|b_{nm}\|_j \to 0, \quad n, m \to \infty.$$

There exists an element $f \in E^*$ such that $f(b_{nm}) = \|b_{nm}\|_j$ and $|f(x)| \le \|x\|_j, \quad x \in E$ [8]. The element f depends on n, m, j.

Then $f(b_{nm}) = \sum_{n\le k\le m} \lambda_k x_k f(e_k)$. Define a sequence $\theta \in S$ as follows. For $x_k f(e_k) \ge 0$ put $\theta_k = 1$ and $\theta_k = -1$ otherwise.

Thus we have

$$\|b_{nm}\|_j \le \sup_k |\lambda_k| \sum_{n\le k\le m} \theta_k x_k f(e_k).$$

From this formula it follows that

$$\|b_{nm}\|_j \le \|\lambda\|_\infty f(\mathcal{M}_\theta a_{nm}) \le \|\lambda\|_\infty \|\mathcal{M}_\theta a_{nm}\|_j.$$

By Lemma 6.4 there is a number $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_\theta a_{nm}\|_j \le c\|a_{nm}\|_i.$$

The parameters i, c are independent on a_{nm} and $\theta \in S$. Since the series (2.1) is convergent, $a_{nm} \to 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$ and so is $\mathcal{M}_\theta a_{nm} \to 0$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_\lambda x$ is defined for all $x \in E$ and $\lambda \in \ell_\infty$.

Now replacing b_{nm} with the partial sums $b_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k e_k$ and repeating the previous argument we obtain the assertion of the theorem.

Theorem 4.1 is proved.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank Prof. Yu. A. Dubinskii and Prof. E. I. Kugushev for useful discussions.

References

[1] Earl A. Coddington, Norman Levinson: Theory of ordinary differential equations. New York : McGraw-Hill ; New Delhi : Tata McGraw-Hill, 1955.

[2] Yu. A. Dubinskii The Cauchy problem and pseudodifferential operators in the complex domain. Russian Mathematical Surveys(1990),45(2):95.

[3] S. Kuksin: Analysis of Hamiltonian PDEs. Oxford, 2000.

[4] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. Classical Banach spaces. I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.

[5] J. B. McLeod, On an infinite set of nonlinear differential equations, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser (2) 13 (19620, 119-128.

[6] V.M. Millionshchikov, On the theory of differential equations in locally convex spaces, Mat. Sb. 57 (1962), 385-406. MR 27 No 6002.

[7] L. Nirenberg: Topics in Nonlinear Functional Analysis, New York, 1974.

[8] A. P. Robertson and W. Robertson, Topological Vector Spaces, Cambridge University Press, 1964

[9] A. M. Samoilenko, Yu V. Teplinskii: Countable Systems of Differential Equations, Brill, 2003.

[10] L.A. Sobolev, V.J. Lusternik: Elements of Functional Analysis. New York, 1975.

[11] L. Schwartz Analyse mathe'matique. Hermann, 1967. vol. 2.

[12] Warren H. Wite A Global Existence Theorem to Smoluchowsi's Coagulation Equation. Proceedings of the AMS Vol. 80 No 2 Oct. 1980.

[13] D. Treschev, O. Zubelevich: Introduction to the Perturbation Theory of Hamiltonian Systems, Springer, 2003.

[14] O. Zubelevich On the Majorant Method for the Cauchy-Kovalevskaya Problem, Math. Notes, 69:3 (2001), 329-339.